VIRGINIA GIS REFERENCE BOOK

General Application Name: Fire and Rescue

Product / Service / Function Name: Emergency Operations Management

P/S/F Description:

Unlike strategic planning and analysis, which takes place for the most part after an emergency has been recovered from and can be studied, emergency operations management involves creating and guiding the contingencies and procedures that need to occur during an emergency situation. These procedures are typically reliant on technology for communication of intelligence, strategies, resource allocation and changes in condition, all of which involves spatial information.

Information technology gives emergency management planners new tools with which to work. Key among these new technologies is Geographic Information Systems (GIS). GIS offers key decision makers, command staff and administrative personnel tremendous advantages to collecting, analyzing, describing and communicating information. GIS is often employed by emergency management planners and command staff for strategic planning and analysis to guide them in determining plans for the five key steps in emergency management: planning, mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery.

Planning for emergencies requires an agency to develop action plans to be used to prepare for an emergency, guide emergency management personnel during an emergency, and record information about that emergency upon completion of a recovery effort. It is to the advantage of the emergency management agency to create preconceived, but flexible plans to respond to situations as they unfold. Maps can assist this process by portraying the spatial information required to inform key decision makers. This document discusses how GIS can aid emergency management planners to create these procedures and guidelines.

Mitigation actions refer to procedures taken by an emergency management agency, often in conjunction with other municipal entities, to reduce the potential damage caused by an emergency. During the course of an emergency, GIS offers tools that can be utilized to model the behavior of fire or a hazardous spill. This allows command staff the opportunity to potentially remove people and physical assets out of harms way before they are put in jeopardy.

Preparedness actions indicate measures enacted to offset potential damage caused by emergency contingencies not covered by mitigation. The key to utilizing spatial technologies for preparedness is to find methods to minimize the damage of an emergency. Simple digital maps provide decision-makers the shortest route to an evacuation center, the locations of food and medical supply stocks and the closest assets available for response to an emergency situation.

During an emergency situation, responses are implemented that have already been developed depending on the scenario involved. This process uses technology to broadcast the planned contingencies and responses to an emergency situation by maps and graphics. Using a GIS, responses can be displayed that assist decision-makers in stabilizing the situation, provide assistance to people and businesses affected by the emergency, and facilitate recovery.

Finally, recovery actions indicate those steps initiated once an emergency situation has abated in an attempt to return conditions to normal. GIS provides a simple technology that can be utilized to assess and initiate both short-term and long-term recovery strategies and actions.



1. Spatial Data:

Minimum Requirements

| General Description | GIS Data Layer | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Emergency Data | Incident Locations | |
| | Police Stations | |
| | Fire Stations | |
| | Fire Response Zones | |
| | Hospitals | |
| | Hazardous Material Sites | |
| Natural Features | Parks | |
| | Open Water | |
| Transportation | Street Centerlines | |
| Socio-Political Data | Municipal Boundary | |

Optional Enhancements

| General Description | GIS Data Layer | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Emergency Data | 911 Call Locations | |
| | Mass Care Centers | |
| | Evacuation Site | |
| | Evacuation Routes | |
| | Traffic Control Points | |
| | Superfund Sites | |
| | Food and Medical Stockpiles | |
| | Emergency Management Assets | |
| Land Base / Planimetric | Building Footprints | |
| Data | T. D. I | |
| | Tax Parcels | |
| | Zoning Districts | |
| | Land Use | |
| Natural Features | 100-Year Floodplain | |
| | Topography | |
| Transportation | Street Doublelines (Right of Way) | |
| | Public Transit Routes | |
| | Railroads | |
| Utility Data | Water Mains | |
| | Hydrants | |
| | Gas Mains | |
| | Electrical Lines | |
| | Telecommunication Conduit | |
| | Sewer Mains | |
| Socio-Political Data | Zip Code Boundaries | |
| | Census Tracts | |
| | Census Block Groups | |
| | Neighborhoods & Subdivisions | |
| Other Data | Digital Orthophotography | |



2. Attribute Data:

Minimum Requirements

| General Description | Attributes |
|-------------------------|---|
| Emergency Data | Unique Incident Identification Number |
| | Occurrence Date |
| | Occurrence Time |
| | Address |
| | Type Code |
| | Day Code |
| | Hour |
| | Patrol/Fire Unit Zone |
| | Patrol Zone Workload |
| | Patrol Zone Fire Demand |
| | Patrol Zone Response Time |
| Person Data (aggregate) | Key Contact Name |
| | Key Contact Role/Position |
| | Key Contact Residence Address |
| | Key Contact Work Address |
| | Key Contact Home Phone Number |
| | Key Contact Work Phone Number |
| | Key Contact Cell/Pager Phone Number |
| | Miscellaneous Demographic and Household |
| Census/Employment Data | Major Employment Centers |
| (aggregate) | |
| | Major Employment Center Daytime |
| | Population |
| | Major Employment Center Evening |
| | Population |
| | Major Employment Center Nighttime |
| | Population |

Optional Enhancements

| General Description | Attributes |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Calls for Service (CFS) | CFS |
| (aggregate) | |

3. Data Acquisition Options

There are many sources of spatial data that an emergency operations management system requires. As previously mentioned, digital incident data can be obtained from the local law enforcement agency's CAD and/or records management system (RMS). A CAD/RMS is most typically a digital database (ex. MS Access, SQL Server, Oracle, mainframe flat-file) that is used to enter these paper reports into a computer for storage. The CAD/RMS serves as more than a reservoir of incident reports as it can be utilized to generate summary reports, advanced statistical analysis, or as the 'base' from which 'data' is extracted for mapping. The incident data can either



be extracted from the CAD/RMS on a regularly scheduled basis and placed into a data warehouse, or the emergency operations management system can link directly to this database. The mapping system is then used to geocode, or spatially locate, each event using a street centerline file or a parcels data layer. A street centerline data layer represents each street in a community by a single line that has attached to it its address range. Tax parcels represent a property that has information attached to it pertaining to ownership, address, and other assessment data.

In either case, the address of an incident record is matched to a parcel or location along the street centerline and a point feature is created to represent that event. Tax parcels are typically maintained at the county level. Street centerline data layers of varying qualities can be obtained by a number of vendors. The market is relatively competitive, and prices will vary with quality of the data. Relevant vendors that provide this kind of spatial data on a regional and national scale include: NAVTECH (www.navtech.com), GDT (www.geographic.com), and TeleAtlas (www.teleatlas.com). Geocoding can also be used to create other data layers that use single addresses, such as fire stations, schools, hospitals, bars, prisons, convenience store/retails centers, etc.

Other spatial data layers can be obtained through the Internet from various government sources. Municipal boundaries, zip code, census tract, and block group boundaries can be obtained in digital format through the U.S. Census Bureau (www.census.gov). Floodplains can be obtained through the FEMA Web site (www.fema.com).

Land base and planimetric data are typically generated at the county level. County staff may create this data themselves or contract the project out to a consulting firm. This data often includes tax parcels, zoning districts, land use, parks, open water, street double lines (Right of Way), railroads, and 911 dispatch records.

Regardless of the source of the data, each data layer used for emergency operations management should be consistent with, or be modified to match, the Virginia Base Mapping Project orthophotography. This is vital for data consistency across the state and facilitates data sharing across jurisdictional boundaries. The digital orthophotography provides an excellent base data layer on which to symbolize incident data and plan tactical operations.

4. Data Conflation Options

Data conflation is a process by which two digital data layers, usually of the same area at different points in time, or two different data layers of the same area, are geographically "corrected" through geometrical and rotational transformations so that the different layers can be overlaid on one another. Also called "rubber-sheeting," this process allows a technician to adjust the coordinates of all features on a data layer to provide a more accurate match between known locations and a few data points within the base data set. A good base layer to use for data conflation is the VBMP orthophotos since many features can be seen or interpreted. The need and processes for conflation varies between sets of data, users, and feature types. Any dataset that is updated independently by different departments can be consolidated through conflation. Within most local governments, individual departments are responsible for maintaining specific datasets within their expertise; therefore, conflation is not often necessary. Often, reprojecting the data into a different coordinate system will take care of the misalignment of different data sets. Most industry-standard GIS software has the ability to perform data conflation. Commonly conflated data layers include: parcels, street centerlines, census boundaries, fire and emergency response zones/boundaries, and any layer that was built using either the parcels or street centerlines.



Each data layer used for an emergency operations management GIS application should use the Virginia Base Mapping Project orthophotography for the conflation process. This is vital for data consistency across the state, and facilitates data sharing across jurisdictional boundaries. It is critical that the street centerlines are accurately placed so that the address information is correct.

5. GUI / Programming Options:

There are many options for developers of emergency operations management systems. The following are three approaches:

- Standard GIS desktop application that can be customized to the user's needs
- Existing commercial emergency operations management system
- Hiring a consultant to develop a custom system from scratch.

Using a standard GIS application often requires a significant amount of training and customization. Whereas the initial cost may be low, the time invested in learning these solutions may generally increase the overall expense of implementation. Standard GIS software packages deliver more robust data integration, analysis, and cartographic capabilities than do other emergency situation analysis applications. They have a greater user support infrastructure that allows users to overcome problems quickly. Options for using an existing, industry-standard GIS software application that can be customized for emergency situation analysis include those listed in the following table:

Standard GIS Software Vendors:

| Vendor | Software | Web Address |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| ESRI | ArcView 3.x | http://www.esri.com |
| ESRI | ArcGIS 8.x | http://www.esri.com |
| MapInfo | Professional 7.0 | http://www.mapinfo.com |
| Intergraph | GeoMedia 5.0 | http://www.intergraph.com/gis |
| Autodesk | Map 5.0 | http://www.autodesk.com |

There are an increasing number of vendors developing and implementing emergency situation analysis software. These products may often cost more than standard GIS software because of the customization that is required to fit the application into the agency's business practices and/or connect to its CFS database or CAD/RMS. The advantage is that a tailored emergency operations management application provides just the functionality that is needed, decreasing the overall application overhead common to industry-standard GIS software. Options for using an existing, commercial emergency operations management system include those listed in the following table:

Commercial Software:

| Vendor | Software | Web Address |
|-------------|-----------------|---|
| Omega Group | FireView | www.crimeanalyst.com |
| Applied | MaxResponder | www.maxresponder.com |
| Ordnance | | |
| Technology | | |
| SAIC | Consequences | www.saic.com/products/simulation/cats/cats.html |
| | Assessment Tool | • |



| $G \rightarrow (G \land TG)$ | |
|------------------------------|--|
| I Set (CALS) | |
| Det (CHID) | |

The final option for developing and implementing an emergency operations management system is to contract with a consultant. This option makes certain that a product will fulfill an agency's requirements. Unlike the first option, which requires the emergency management agency to modify its own process/technology to fit the system, the system fits existing business practices. A consultant will be able to develop an application that works with the wide range of CFS databases and CAD/RMS that currently exist within the state. Also, training and follow-up user support is often provided at a much more substantial level than with other options.

A GIS application for emergency operations management would encompass several different functionalities. The following is a list of possible functions that this type of application might provide:

- Locate a point of interest and create a route to that location with directions
- Measure distances between points
- Create a buffer around a point to identify features within the radius that might be affected by a chemical spill, etc.
- Link floor plans or photos to the building footprint data layer
- Create a comprehensive map of the affected area
- Coordinate emergency personnel by deploying those crews which are closest to the disaster or have the most expertise

6. Internet Functionality and Options:

The Internet has proven itself as a viable solution for emergency management agencies to centralize the maintenance and management of services and data. As more emergency management agencies are implementing Web-based solutions, they are finding that the Internet does require them to change the nature of an application or its usefulness. Using the Internet, software can be easily updated, and users gain greater accessibility to the applications and information they need for their specific tasks through simple, user-friendly interfaces.

An emergency management operations application would best lend itself to an intranet solution, rather than an Internet solution. This way any sensitive information can be maintained within the confines of a local network. GIS software vendors have products that can be customized in-house or by a consultant to provide Web GIS applications on the Internet, over an intranet or via wireless network.

GIS Internet Solutions

| Vendor | Internet Software | Web Address |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ESRI | ArcIMS | http://www.esri.com/software/arcims |
| MapInfo | MapXtreme, MapX | http://www.mapinfo.com |
| Intergraph | GeoMedia WebMap | http://www.intergraph.com/gis/gmwm |
| Autodesk | MapGuide | http://www.autodesk.com |



7. Technical Requirements:

Minimum Technical Requirements

At its most basic level, an emergency operations management system can be used on a single, stand-alone workstation. This workstation would have a hard drive that stores all of the spatial data layers, as well as a database containing a copy of all of the incident records for the emergency management agency. A typical workstation running off-the-shelf software should have the following minimum specifications:

Processor: Pentium 3, 450 MHz

RAM: 128MB SDRAM at 133MHz

Hard Disk: 20GB (min.)

Monitor 1: 19" Floppy Drive: 3.5"

CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD drive

Modem: 56K

OS: Windows 2000/NT/XP
Office: Windows 2000 Professional
Printer: 8x11 office-grade color printer

Optimum Technical Requirements:

A more complex emergency operations management system may require multiple components, including servers, desktop workstations, ruggedized laptops, and/or handheld devices. For either a client-server or a Web-based application, the system should rely on a fairly robust server computer and high-end workstations. Some examples specifications of the necessary equipment are listed below:

Server

Processor: Min. 2x Processors, 1.7 GHz, 512K cache

RAM: Min. 2x 512MB RIMMS Hard Disk: Min. 2x 80GB +RAID

Monitor 1: 19" Floppy Drive: 3.5"

CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD drive

Modem: 56K

Network Card: 10/100 mbps

Workstation

Processor: Pentium 4, 1.5 GHz

RAM: 512MB SDRAM at 133MHz

Hard Disk: 20GB (min.)

Monitor 1: 19" Monitor 2: 17" Floppy Drive: 3.5"

CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD-RW drive

Modem: 56K

Network Card: 10/100 mbps

OS: Windows 2000/NT/XP
Office: Windows 2000 Professional



Other Components

Printer: 8x11 office-grade color printer and 8x11 production b/w printer

Plotter: HP DesignJet 1055CM Tape Backup: Tape Library Server

UPS: APC 1400 (or other similar)

Scanner: 11x17

Handheld: Compaq IPAQ

Network: T1

8. Administrative/Management Requirements

At the beginning of the project, the assigned project manager of the local emergency management agency should consider completing some, if not all of the following tasks that relate to the administrative requirements of an emergency management project:

- Determine, with or without the assistance of a consultant hired to develop the system, the preliminary vision and goals of the project.
- Determine the stakeholders (e.g. fire departments, local/state/federal emergency management groups, local hospital administration/planning staff) of an emergency situation project within their own jurisdiction and with larger government entities that they interact with.
- Coordinate an initial stakeholders meeting where the vision and goals of the project are expressed and the background of GIS technology is described, if needed.
- Coordinate with other municipal agencies for data sharing provisions.
- Determine a mechanism of communication to keep the stakeholders aware of the progress of the project.
- Develop a basic understanding of the available precedents in their region/state and research the available technologies that can be applied to their project.

Upon project completion, a simple desktop emergency operations management system will require very little administrative support. Administrative tasks may include loading or upgrading new versions of the software or patches, providing for constant data flow from the 911 dispatch database or RMS, and maintaining yearly support contracts on the hardware and software. However, once the system becomes distributed by either model previously discussed, there are other management requirements that need to be fulfilled on a weekly or monthly basis.

At the point where the system grows beyond single desktop users, a devoted administrator or system manager needs to be established. This is essential for the following reasons:

- The system will now be interfacing with other technology systems already in place. Therefore, someone needs to maintain contact with the technology personnel that maintain these systems.
- The manager needs to put into place quarterly training schedules to maintain user knowledge of the system.
- Funding will undoubtedly be required to either maintain the system long-term, or continue to expand the system, which requires funding research and applications for grants.
- Emergency operations management only succeeds when it is updated periodically, implemented for every emergency situation and supplemented with rigorous analysis and planning.



9. Costs:

| Hardware | Typical Unit Cost |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Minimum Workstation | \$2,000 |
| Optimum Workstation | \$3,200 |
| Laptop | \$2,400 |
| Web/FTP Server | \$8,500 |
| Database Server | \$12,000 |
| Data Warehouse Server | \$18,000 |
| Backup Server | \$5,800 |
| Printer (8x11 color) | \$700 |
| Printer (8x11 b/w production) | \$2,000 |
| Plotter | \$12,000 |
| Tape Library | \$5,000 |
| UPS | \$700 |
| Scanner | \$1,500 |
| Handheld | \$300-\$700 |

| Software (all prices included license) | Typical Unit Cost |
|--|-------------------|
| Standard GIS desktop software | \$700-\$10,000 |
| Desktop vendor emergency operations | \$2,000-\$6,000 |
| management application | |
| Customized desktop vendor solution | \$5,000-\$15,000 |
| Web-based vendor application | \$15,000-\$25,000 |
| Customized web-based vendor solution | \$20,000-\$60,000 |

| Miscellaneous | Typical Unit Cost |
|---|-------------------|
| Training – focused vendor emergency | \$700-\$1,000 |
| situation mapping training (per person) | |
| Training – general GIS | \$700-\$1,200 |
| Licensing-desktop | \$100-\$500 |
| Licensing-webapp (1st CPU) | \$7,500-\$12,000 |
| Maintenance (per year) | \$8,000-\$15,000 |

10. Standards / Guidelines Summary

- Always maintain a unique identification number with every incident, spatial feature, and event recorded within the system.
- Standardize street naming conventions to make certain of proper geocoding.
- If there are multiple streets with the same name (e.g., Main St.) then standardize additional fields, such as borough name or zip code, that are collected to differentiate the streets.
- Create standard Common Place-name file.

| McDonald's | 236 Johnson St |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Grant Statue | 14 th St. & Willits St |
| Central Park | 1500 Warrington Rd |
| The Pit | 6550 Templeton Ln |
| K&A | Kensington Ave & Allegheny Ave |

• Collect zip codes for all incidents. This facilitates cross-jurisdictional information sharing.



- Standardize use of emergency situation type codes and other data statewide building from national standards such as the National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS).
- Standardize date and time conventions.
- Develop a detailed Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedure for reviewing the accuracy of the GIS data and its attributes.
- Maintain data in the VBMP standard coordinate system (Virginia State Plane, NAD 83, Survey Feet).
- Create metadata (standard information about GIS data) for each data layer. Metadata tracks the date, origin, coordinate system, and other such information for data layers.

11. Startup Procedures/Steps

There should be a minimum of eight steps involved with an emergency operations management project after funding is in place to support the project. The steps can be performed in-house or by a consulting team.

The first task is to complete a detailed Needs Assessment. This process gathers information regarding existing operational procedures, hardware and software, emergency situation data, and personnel needs. It should include interviews of key individuals throughout the emergency management and law enforcement communities and other related government departments to obtain a comprehensive view of the agency's operations, and where GIS might improve them. Basic GIS concepts should be discussed and illustrated to those interviewees that have little prior understanding of GIS or emergency situation analysis and mapping. It is at this time that the project group should discuss the relevance or desire to develop functionality within the system to help in the five key areas mentioned in the introduction: planning, mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery.

If properly executed, these five steps can help develop the guidelines and protocols for the wide range of emergency situations that arise. This plan can leverage the power of GIS to identify the most suitable fire and rescue station locations, or other asset sites. GIS provides the analytical tools to quickly determine where these sites are best located by integrating and analyzing many different ways the social, economic and environmental factors that cause or contribute to an emergency situation.

Through the use of mobile technologies linked to GIS hardware such as mobile data terminals, ruggedized laptops and palm devices can be linked with real-time global position systems (GPS) and automated vehicle location (AVL). These integrated technologies offer many advantages to central command staff and responding units. GPS and AVL utilize satellites to locate an emergency vehicle on the earth. Once mapped all vehicles and assets can be tracked and their progress, or proximity to danger, evaluated by command staff at an emergency management center. Field units responding to an incident or emergency can utilize mobile devices to quickly bring up geographic information and/or images of the locations they are moving toward, aerial photographs of the sites and attributes data about the individual locations (such as hazardous material storage, electrical and gas service details, employee numbers). This allows the responding units and command staff to share intelligence before and during chaotic emergency situations.

A comprehensive Needs Assessment should then be compiled from the results of the interviews. This document explains the various requirements for an emergency operations management system in the following areas: personnel needs, spatial data development needs, tabular/incident



data development needs, applicable spatial and temporal emergency situation analysis techniques, basic system requirements, including preliminary, general hardware and software recommendations, and training needs.

The second task is to develop a functional requirements document for the proposed system and procedures. This document should describe, as completely as possible, all of the technology and functionality that is to be included in the emergency operations management system, as well as the procedures and contingencies that will be enacted in case of an emergency situation. This document is used by emergency agencies and their consultants as the blueprint for the application and/or system.

- Hardware specifications
- Software purchases
- Detailed descriptions of work-flow, and examples of the graphic user interfaces
- Describe each tool that is part of that graphic user interface, and its functionality
- Describe how data would flow between the different databases and data warehouses, if applicable
- Describe the redundant security measures that will be put in place to make certain of data integrity and confidentiality, when applicable
- Analytical techniques that the application/system provides the user for queries and analysis
- Describe each of the potential products (reports, maps, charts, summary tables) that the user will be able to generate within the system

The third task should be to compile or develop an emergency-specific spatial data set that can be used by the evolving emergency situation and asset management system. Data can be gathered from a number of online sources, as well as county departments. The data layers gathered and maintained should match at least the minimum list provided in Section 1 of this document. At this point, the method of data collection and attributes collected pertaining to an incident should be studied and modified as needed. This might require changes to the agency's CAD/RMS. If changes are warranted, it will be worthwhile in the long run to compile additional information for analysis.

On completion and acceptance of the functional requirements document and the development of the spatial and attribute data, the system development and test phase can begin. During this time, the application will be customized as it was outlined in the functional requirements phase. The emergency management agency should require periodic reviews of the application at particular milestones, such as 50% and 75% completion. This will make certain that problems with the application will be recognized early in the development process, and that the emergency management agency remains a part of the development process throughout the project timeline.

When the system is nearing 100% completion, it should be installed and tested in the environment in which it will ultimately be used. This allows the users to test the system alongside the application developers, and determine any system integration problems that might arise. It also gives the developers the opportunity to test the application's functionality in a real-world situation. This testing process should be as comprehensive as possible. Each process detailed within the functional requirements should be tested and evaluated at this point.

User training commences once the application reaches 100% completion and is fully documented. Different levels of tutorials and system documentation should be developed depending on the



hierarchy of users. Time should be spent at this stage of the project with each potential user of the system to make certain that the proper education occurs. Training should be done through lessons that use real-life examples of system application. This strategy greatly enhances users' ability to apply the functionality to their jobs.

The next phase of the project should include a document that describes a future plan for wider system development. This document accomplishes two goals. The future plan gives the local government agency ideas on how the system might grow to assist other facets of its business practices. Secondly, it provides the agency with a ready-made grant proposal for applying for potential funding sources.

The final phase of a successful emergency operations management system is ongoing technical support. The emergency management agency should always include this contingency within its cost estimates of a project for a minimum of three months after a system has been put into place. No matter how effective an application appears, problems and system changes inevitably impact the functionality of a system.

12. Estimated time line and/or implementation (stand alone) schedule:

| Phase | Duration |
|---|-------------------|
| RFP/Contract process (construction, posting, proposal acceptance, | 4 months - 1 year |
| review, award of contract) | |
| Needs Assessment | 1 month |
| Functional Requirements | 1-2 months |
| Data Development | 2-3 months |
| System Development and Testing | 2-4 months |
| Installation and Testing | 1 month |
| User Training | ½ month |
| Plan for Future Development | ½ month |
| Ongoing Support | 3 months |

13. Best Practice Examples in Virginia

Fairfax County Geographic Information Services 12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 117 Fairfax, VA 22035 (703) 324-2712 www.co.fairfax.va.us/ps/es/technology.htm

